Intrathecal Administration of Autologous Bone Marrow Mononuclear Cells in a Case of Cerebral Palsy Coexisting with Autistic Features
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Abstract

Cerebral palsy (CP) represents a group of developmental disorders leading to neurodisability in the pediatric population. The 2008 Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network (ADDM) CP Network revealed that nearly 7% of children with CP co-existed with autistic disorders. Here we present a case report of a six and a half year old child who presented with CP coexisting with autistic features. She underwent two cellular therapies with autologous bone marrow mononuclear administered intrathecally, followed by intensive neurorehabilitation. Over the span of 15 months post cellular therapies, improvements were seen in the walking balance, sitting tolerance, eye contact, clarity of speech, social interaction and voluntary control of the left upper limb with increased weight bearing capacity. There was a decrease from 96 to 82 on the ISAA scale. CARS score reduced from 30.5 to 25 after the two cellular therapies. GMFM score showed an improvement from 64.31% to 79.96% and FIM increased from 40 to 49. No adverse events were observed. This case report supports the evidences suggesting that cellular therapy along with rehabilitation augments the recovery processes in patients with CP coexisting with autistic features. Further clinical studies are required to establish the therapeutic potential of cellular therapy taking into consideration the multifaceted symptomatic presentations in CP.
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Case Representation

A six and a half year old girl was admitted to our hospital with a diagnosis of CP coexisting with autistic features. The patient was born pre-term C-section with breech presentation. At the age of one and half years, she had intermittent convulsions that lasted for 4-5 days following which she entered comatose state and was on ventilator support. The consciousness was regained after 23 days and subsequent mental, social and physical regression was observed in the patient. She had left sided weakness and lost her speech and ability to walk. The patient was started on physiotherapy following which she could walk slowly within a month. Later the patient reached a plateau phase where no further improvements were witnessed in spite of regular physiotherapy.

On assessment prior to cellular therapy, it was observed that the left upper limb was in internal rotation, adduction with flexed elbow and forearm in pronation. Weight bearing capacity was diminished in the left upper extremity with no elicitable tendon reflexes. There was poor voluntary control and scapulothoracic dissociation with grade 2 spasticity in the left upper limb. The attention and concentration was poor with minimum eye contact. Social interaction was poor. There was presence of hyperactivity and the sitting tolerance was average. Emotional responses were inappropriate with presence of behavioral issues like throwing temper tantrums, putting her legs in the mouth and irrelevant laughing. Climbing the stairs was difficult for the patient. Sitting and standing balance was poor. She was dependent for activities of daily living (ADLs). She scored 40 on Functional Independence Measure (Wee-FIM) and 96 on Indian Scale for Assessment of Autism (ISAA) scale. On Childhood Autism Rating Scale (CARS) scale, she was at 30.5. Gross Motor Function Measure (GMFM) score was 76.98% and the Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) scale was at level II. Magnetic resonance imaging-Diffusion tensor imaging (MRI- DTI) of the brain showed severe gliotic alterations in almost entire right cerebral hemisphere. The electroencephalogram (EEG) record revealed severely abnormal sleep EEG.
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Treatment

The patient underwent autologous bone marrow derived mononuclear cell transplantation. Patient selection was based on World Medical Association Helsinki Declaration for Ethical Principles for medical research involving human subjects [1]. The protocol was reviewed and ethically approved by the Institutional Committee for Stem Cell Research and Therapy (IC-SCRT). A duly filled informed consent form was obtained from the parents prior to the therapy.

G-CSF injections were administrated 72 hours and 24 hours before BMMNCs transplantation. On the day of transplantation, 100ml of bone marrow was aspirated from the left anterior superior iliac spine. BMMNCs were separated using density gradient separation. The purified MNCs were tested for total cell count, viability and CD34+ cell content by FACS analysis. CD34+ count was found to be 424 cells/ul. The cells were administered intrathecally in L4-L5 space using a lumbar puncture needle. Approximately 1x10⁸ BMMNCs with a viability of 98% were injected. Intravenous administration of Methylprednisolone 1gm in 500ml Ringer lactate was carried out simultaneously to improve stem cell survival.

After the transplantation, the patient was put on a multidisciplinary rehabilitation which included physiotherapy, occupational therapy and psychological counseling. These therapies included effective motor learning strategies with task oriented training for successful attainment of functional outcomes. After one week, the patient was discharged.

In view of the improvements observed after the treatment, the patient underwent similar second cellular therapy 10 months after the first intervention. The transplantation procedure was replicated. Total numbers of cells injected were 98x10⁸ with 96% viability.

Results

The patient was followed up regularly at 1 week, 3 months and 6 months span after first cellular therapy. Within a week of the cellular therapy, improvement in eye contact and sitting tolerance was observed. The hyperactivity had reduced. At 3 months follow up, remarkable improvements were noted in command following, eye contact, attention and concentration. Behavioral issues like throwing temper tantrums, putting her legs in the mouth and irrelevant laughing reduced. Motor coordination, gross and fine motor control had improved which could be noted in various day to day activities. She could perform tandem staircase climbing with minimal support; the balance while sitting and standing had improved. Speech improved to monosyllabic speech. She would try to use her left hand which she could not earlier. Sleep pattern improved. CARS score showed a reduction from 30.5 to 28.5. Wee-FIM improved from 40 to 42. GMFM score improved from 64.31 to 76.98. The ISAA score decreased to 92 from 96.

At 6 months follow up, the voluntary control of the left upper limb improved with increased weight bearing capacity. Pain sensation in the left upper limb was evident and she would cry on getting hurt. She could walk with minimal support. There was further progress in the attention span to 10-15 minutes. Sitting tolerance had further improved and she could attend regular schooling. She would maintain the eye contact during conversation for longer period of time. Clarity of speech had improved significantly. She could recognize and initiate interaction with her relatives and friends. Wee-FIM increased from 42 to 43 and the ISAA score further decreased to 82. CARS score showed further reduction to 27.

After 10 months of first cellular therapy, she underwent second transplantation based on the improvements observed. At 5 months follow up after second cellular therapy, her static and dynamic balance while sitting and standing further improved. The tightness in the left upper limb reduced and she could perform reach out exercises more easily. She could differentiate between familiar and unfamiliar faces. CARS score showed a further reduction from 27 to 25. Wee-FIM further improved from 43 to 49. GMFM score further improved from 76.98 to 79.96.

Discussion

CP is one of the most common neurodevelopment conditions affecting the development of movement and posture, which can be attributed to non-progressive disturbances that occurs in the developing fetal or infant brain [2]. While motor impairment is the defining diagnosis basis of CP, the disorder is often associated with cognitive impairment [3]. There are studies suggesting the prevalence of psychological disorders in children with neurodevelopment disorder [4,5]. The 2008 Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring Network (ADDM) CP Network revealed that nearly 7% of children with CP co-existed with autistic disorders [6]. In children diagnosed with neurodevelopment disorders such as CP in the early stages of their lives, problems with social interaction, communication, and behaviour may be considered as a part of the disorder. So the autistic features may go unnoticed. Early diagnosis and intervention are of critical in the prognosis for children with autistic disorders [7]. The pathophysiology of the brain leading to memory impairment has been linked to structural lesion in basal ganglia, internal capsule and hippocampus [8]. Many of the symptoms associated with CP and coexisting conditions can be managed with a comprehensive treatment plan that addresses the child’s primary, secondary and coexisting factors which can help the child live a better life [9]. It has been observed that cellular therapy can be safe and effective in repairing the damage to the neural tissue [10,11].

The patient was administered autologous BMMNC intrathecally. Safety and efficacy of cellular therapy has been proved in previous studies [12]. The autologous cells are preferred over the allogeneic cells as the possibility of immune rejection is reduced [13]. BMMNC have a unique property of homing into the damaged areas of the brain and preventing the endogenous cells from further damage [14]. These cells initiate repair processes by inhibiting pro inflammatory cytokines and release of anti-inflammatory molecules and growth factors that are responsible for cell proliferation, cytoprotection, and angiogenesis [15,16].

After intervention, there were marked improvements in voluntary control and sensations in the left upper limb, balance, cognition, social interaction and communication of the patient which were also reflected on the outcome measure scores. Further symptomatic and functional improvements were observed after the second cellular therapy suggesting that multiple doses of BMMNCs...
enhances the therapeutic outcome of therapy. This case also supports the observation that a combination of cellular therapy and exercise results in significant functional improvement through release of neurotrophic factors that stimulate neuronal growth at the site of neurological deficit [17,18]. There were no adverse events observed in the patient.

One of the limitations of the case report is the absence of objective imaging studies to determine whether the same brain lesion leading to CP was also responsible for the autistic pattern seen in the patient. Lack of control is another limitation of this case study. But looking at the significant improvement seen after the cellular therapy combined with the multidisciplinary rehabilitation, which was not observed previously with only rehabilitation, the patient could serve as a self control.

**Conclusion**

This case study suggests that cellular therapy enhances the outcome of standard rehabilitation treatment by repairing the underlying defect in the brain tissue of CP coexisting with autistic features. This is possible because cellular therapy addresses both the physical and cognitive deficits. However, larger randomized clinical studies are required to establish the therapeutic effects of cellular therapy taking into consideration the multifaceted symptomatic presentations in CP.
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